

Decision Number: 43(2012/13)

## Portfolio Holder Executive Decision Statement

The Local Authority (Executive Arrangements)(Meetings and Access to Information)(England) Regulations 2012

### The Decision:

That Scape System Build Ltd be commissioned to undertake a free feasibility study on rebuilding White Oak Leisure Centre.

**Date the decision was made: 8 April 2013**

### Reason for Decision *(please set out in full)*



- White Oak Leisure Centre was built in the 1960s and it is expected that major costs for repairs to the existing centre would be necessary in the next 10 years.
- It was agreed by Cabinet on 10 January 2013 that Officers be asked to investigate and explore all possibilities surrounding two options for White Oak Leisure Centre (To invest in the existing building and to replace the existing building on the same or a different site).
- Scape System Build Ltd is a Local Authority controlled company wholly owned by six local authorities in the north of England. Scape acts as a Contracting Authority and Central Purchasing Body as defined in the EU Procurement Directives. Scape provides a cost effective National Framework that local authorities or other public bodies can use to procure major works to build, rebuild or refurbish public buildings without having to go through a tender process. Scape has pre-tendered a major works contract within EU Procurement law with Willmott Dixon, a nationwide building firm.
- Local authorities can sign an Access Agreement to gain access to the Scape procurement process and commission a free feasibility study. The Access Agreement does not provide a binding contract for further works with Scape/Willmott Dixon, but will enable a feasibility study to be carried out for free.
- A feasibility study will help Officers explore possibilities around the option to replace the existing building on the same or a different site.

### Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the Member when making the Decision:

Scape offers a free feasibility study with no further contractual requirements. No other alternative options that offer a free feasibility study have been identified to date.

(For Democratic Services use)

Decision Number: 43(2012/13)

<b>Local Member (s), other Portfolio Holders and/or Directors/Heads of Service Consulted</b>	
Lesley Bowles, Head of Community Development	
<b>Details of any conflicts of interest</b>	
<b>a) declared by any executive member who is consulted by the Decision Taker</b>	
<b>None</b>	
<b>b) and any details of dispensations granted by the Chief Executive in respect of any declared conflict</b>	
<b>None</b>	
<b>Decision taken by:</b>	Portfolio Holder for Community Wellbeing & Portfolio Holder for Finance and Value for Money
<b>Signed by Portfolio Holder</b>	
<b>Signed by Portfolio Holder</b>	
<b>Record made by:</b>	CHARLOTTE STACKLICC
<b>Date of record:</b>	9-4-13